## SPIRIT OF THE PRESS.

EDITORIAL OPINIONS OF THE LEADING JOURNALS UPON CURRENT TOPICS -COMPILED EVERY DAY FOR THE EVENING TELEGRAPH.

H. G. AND THE BLOCKHEADS.

From the N. Y. World.

We needed not Mr. Greeley's speech in Union Square last night to satisfy us that he had returned to New York. Any one who opened Monday's Tribune could see "with half an eye" that H. G. had put his foot in it. Quoth the Tribune, "The World lies as usual." A hard saying this from any one but H. G. From H. G. it only means that he is disgusted to find the World catching him on the flank.

Which, indeed, the World has done. For whereas the Tribune, for what reason we know not, now seeks to make it appear that H. G., in speaking of the Union Leaguers as "narrow-minded blockbeads," meant only a committee of the Union Leaguers, the truth, as the Tribune itself shows, is quite other-

It was on the 12th of May, 1867, that Horace Greeley, first in the list, signed the bail-bond of Jefferson Davis. On Thursday, the 23d of May, 1867, Horace Greeley, having been summoned "by an official note from the president of the Union League to attend a special meeting of the club," called for that night to consider his crime, published in the Tribune over his own initials a reply to that summons. In that reply he says, "I shall not attend your meeting this evening," the said meeting being a meeting not of the committee which had moved that a meeting be called, but of the clab, called by its president. "I do not recognize you," he continues, "as capable of judging or even of fully appre-hending me. You evidently regard me as a weak sentimentalist, misled by a maudlin philosophy. I arraign you as narrow-minded blockheads." This language, as the context shows, was addressed and could have been addressed only to the club. It was the club by its president which had summoned H. G. to appear before it. It was before the club that H. G. refused to appear.

Nor was his refusal a hasty impulse. It was the deliberate result of a long brewing quarrel between H. G. and the League. This his own language in the letter we refer to clearly proves. "Chancing," he says, "to enter your club-house on Saturday evening, the next after the assassination of President Lincoln, I received a full broadside of your scowls. \* \* \* \* \* I was soon made to comprehend that I had no sympathizers, or none who dare seem such, in your crowded assemblage." Can the force of language be

But H. G. goes on:—
"Some maladroit admirer of mine having a few days afterwards made the club a present of my portrait, its bare reception was resisted in a speech from the chair by your then president.'

Who can wonder that H. G. should speak of a club as a body of "narrow-minded block heads" which refused even to receive as a present a portrait of himself, without being compelled, like the subscribers of the Tribune, to take the Tribune with the portrait? But who can repress his wonder at finding H. G. now attempt to placate the blockally stoops so low as to pretend that they did not sustain the indictment which they had brought against him, when in truth the record shows that after a long and stormy debate the club adopted a resolution denouncing the bailing of Jefferson Davis, and declaring that "a decent regard for the patriotism of the people, for the sacrifices of the war, and for the sacredness of justice required that he should be detained in prison until tried for his crimes." This resolution was enforced as the condition of accepting the proposition put forward by a lawyer, Mr. D. B. Eaton, that "the club had no legitimate authority to condemn Horace Greeley for perpetrating this outrage upon patriotism,' the "sacrifices of the war," and the "sacred ness of justice."

In other words, the Union League decided that it would have expelled Horace Greeley had it been legally entitled so to do. An this decision, we submit, fully justified H. G. in pronouncing the club which made it to be a collection of "narrow-minded block-

Let us have peace.

THE TRADE OF LITERATURE. From the N. Y. Tribune.

Somebody ought to write a hand-book of literature. We are apt to fancy that authorship in the golden age used to be a matter of divine afflatus more or less. If a man wrote a book then it was, we think, wrenched out of him by the immortal longings which he felt or fancied he felt. Penny-a-liners, if the creatures existed in that time, are swept into nothingness with the gad-flies that fluttered out their poisonous summer day a hundred years ago. Now everybody is a penny-a-liner, from the great divine who sells his last Sunday's sermon to his sectarian magazine at \$10 per page, to Madame B. clearing the expenses of her fortnight at Cape May by her descrip-tion of her own and her friends' dresses for the Flunkey's Journal at \$5 per column. In old times, when a woman wrote a book, however meagre, men, with great acclaim, crowned her Sappho on the spot, and all

other women as promptly turned their backs on her as a social Pariah. Now literature is the money-making trade to which all young girls just out of school, and needy widows, first turn. The popular idea is that it is a genteel business which can be carried on at home and which requires no preparatory culture, as would sewing or teaching. Every magazine and newspaper editor is overwhelmed with applications for places on his corps of contributors; the peculiarity of which is that there is rarely any apparent conviction on the part of the applicants that they have any word to speak or song to sing which must be uttered, or which will benefit the world when it comes. They need money. There, to themselves, is the force of their appeal, made often with all the pathos of despair. Then, too, their ideas of the rewards of authorship are such veritable dreams of Alnaschar. A young girl of eighteen proposes herself as managing editor a political newspaper; a Southern lady offers to sell ber three-volume novel for sum sufficient to repurchase her lost plantations and restore her family to ease and affluence." In behalf of these hopeful hungry aspirants and hopeless struggling publishers who suffer their attacks, why should not some hand-book or plain expose of the business and scale of prices be set forth? Why should the editor wrap himself and his business in a haze of mystery?-sit in a nebulous glory at the gate of the desired city? Would it not ease his soul and his letter-box to state plainly that the city within has neither golden streets nor gates of pearl? that its laws are those dictated by the most downright common

and not glory are their prevailing motive power? There is no profession of which the public is so ignorant of the business details as that of authorship, and perhaps this would be proper if every publisher was a Meecenas, and every author an artist or a genius. The glittering veil suits Isis in her temple. But the fact is, that in England and this country two-thirds of the reading public demand magazines, newspapers, and books which possess neither excep-tional wit, fancy, nor knowlenge. The stories, the poems, the essays (as well as the sermons), which best suit this greedy, halftaught public can be furnished to order by any decently-educated active adaptive, man or woman; and such literary matter is well paid for. We see no reason, therefore, why this host of needy would-be authors should not earn a livelihood in satisfying this incessant demand for evanescent matter, as long as their productions are not immoral and are reasonably clean.

In the higher class of magazines and journals there will be found a certain individuality belonging to each which, if the contributor would study his chance of success, would be greater. The article which would not suit the atmosphere of a speculative, quiet Boston audience would be welcomed in New York for its subjective dramatic mode of setting forth truth, and vice versa. But the tyro, with his first MS. in hand, goes trembling to an editor's door, supposing it is the one portal of the temple of Fame, inside of which the Immortals wait, watchful and jealous, to admit or reject him from their company. If the fateful yellow envelope comes back to him, Fate, he thinks, has pronounced against him. His wisest plan would be to take it to another dealer, precisely as if it were a package of sugar or tobacco. If one does not want it another may. There is no conspiracy among successful authors against his early genius; the editor is quite as anxious to obtain good matter as he is to furnish it.

The half dozen leading magazines represent very fairly the phases of the best thought in the country; and if there be anything worth buying in his addition to it, he will not fail in a sale. If he does, let him still hopefully begin to take a lower place. The inferior popular journals are probably his field. Our advice is intended for the trader rather than the true artist, perhaps, and smells of the shop more than Parnassus. But we think it suits the want and temper of the time.

YALE AS AN AMERICAN UNIVERSITY. From the N. Y. Times.

An article recently published by Professor Dana contains a rather sorry report of the resources of one of our two leading universities. When the sum of \$785,000 is imperatively demanded to meet the "urgent needs" of an institution like Yale College, and when it is further stated that at least \$3,600,000 is necessary to "place all departments of the university in a good condition"—and further, that a deficit of five thousand dollars is anticipated for the current year—people will be much inclined to question the right of any such impecunious college to the name even of a university. A college staggering along under an effort to carry the weight of so comprehensive a title is very much like an overfreighted beast of burden. Better lighten

the load. And yet, theoretically at least, Yale College has a perfect right to claim the title to which it aspires. It has a weak school of law, a fair school of medicine, a good theological department, and an admirable school of science. The gifts, donations, and bequests have been liberal and numerous. The citizens of New Haven alone, within twelve years, have contributed \$900,000; two new dormitories have been recently erected, each costing about \$100,000; other gifts during the past twelve years amount to \$240,000 more, and besides these sums there has been over \$300,000 given for special departments. And yet, as Professor Dana has truly stated, "Yale College is poor, far beyond the know-ledge of its friends;" and he adds that, unless its needs are met 1"by the corporation and those who can give help, Yale College cannot keep up to the mark." Briefly stated, the "urgent needs" of Yale are: -New professors and instructors, new buildings, and funds for beneficiary purposes and scholarships. Har-vard is enabled to give annually to its needy students \$21,000; Yale can only give \$8000. And so, if a comparison be further instituted. the ratio of inferiority is found to be about the same. It is true that there is a bright side to this poverty, since it is a measure of the growth of Yale. Its poverty is due to its prosperity, to "the increase in the number of its students; the demands of the age as regards education; to a natural outgrowing of its old clothes, and not a little, also, to the cost of produce in the market.'

The question is how to supply these wants, and this question, with the other two of Alumni representation and the election of a new President, are likely to form subjects for discussion, and we hope also, of action, at the coming Commencement. There is no reason why Yale should not be enabled to maintain the position of a leading American university. At present it falls far short of the standard, and in almost all respects its rival, Cambridge, towers head and shoulders above it. The latter is more liberally endowed, more energetic, more in harmony with the demands of the time; it enlists in its service the ablest minds it can obtain, admits to its governing board its younger sons, and thus mingles the enterprise and activity of youth with the experience and wisdom of older heads. The successful advancement of Yale College rests largely with the alumni. On their shoulders falls the responsibility of seeing that its needs are supplied. But to appeal to them successfully, it is requisite that they should be made, in some way or other, active members of the governing board. This much they have a right to ask. It is all very well to say, Give us your money and see how well we will spend it. It would be much more effective to say, Give us your money, and assist us with your a lvice as to how it may be best expended. Yale constantly asks for "a university fund," money for general use, and wonders that all gifts should be for special purposes or for a designated end. Yet this is but natural, for in the one case the donor has no voice in the disposition of his gift; in the other he knows exactly to what purposes his money is devoted. course we do not consider that the admission of the alumni to representation in the corporation is the sole cure for the ills complained of. It is only one of the remedies needed. Much depends on the man chosen for the next President. But it looks very much as if the "alumni question" would be pressed to a settlement, one way or the other, so far as the alumni can settle it, at the next meeting. It is easy to predict that on its solution depends, in many respects, the position of Yale College as an American

ICONOCLASM.

From the London Saturday Review. The first impression made on most readers dictated by the most downright common of the telegram announcing the destruction column in the Place Vendome was designed sense and expediency? and that greenbacks of the Vendome column will have been that to commomorate Napoleon's German victo-

expressed in Wednesday's Times, of regret ries; but the more rational agents in the piece that the Versaillee Government had not shown sufficient promptitude in mastering Paris to meant to signalize their hatred, not of French anticipate this act of wanton vandalism.

Many and bittor will be the reproaches
levelled at the Philiatine brutality of Red Respublicanism, and for a long time to come English visitors will take up their parable about the curse of mob rule, as they turn in angry disappointment from the vacant site of what has been for the last sixty years one of the most conspicuous ornaments of the French capital. We are very far from saying that such reproaches will be unreasonable. The love of destruction for its own sake, and especially destruction of anything that may he considered a badge of superior authority. is a passion strongly developed in the manly bosoms of those who delight to call them-selves "the People;" and we may well believe that the men of Paris gazed on the downfall of the column in the Place Ven-dome with much the same feeling of coarse satisfaction which inspired "the men of London" as they witnessed or helped to effect the smashing of the Hyde Park railings. Only their satisfaction would be so far more intense, as the column was not only a symbol of sovereignty, but a very beautiful and costly work of art. We are not disposed to think very highly of the culture of the Commune, notwithstanding Mr. Bridges favorable estimate of it as compared with the results of an Oxford education; and the indignant censors of the iconoclastic tendencies of Republicanism might point their moral by reminding us that the Parisian democrats of to-day are but treading in the footsteps of their ancestors in the first Revolution, who destroyed the statue of Louis XIV on the very spot afterwards selected by Napoleon I for the monument to commemorate his victories. Now is the analogy merely one of outward form. Neither Louis XIV nor Napoleon was a model of exalted virtue, and history has a heavy indictment to bring against the principles and policy of their administration. But that they were two of the greatest rulers that ever swayed the destinies of France, and that they raised her to a height of material spendor unequalled at any other periods of her history, is beyond question. Their rule may not unfairly be characterized as an immoral despotism; but Frenchmen might be expected to treat with some decent respect the memory of despots whose faults repre-sented and flattered the national character, and who contributed so much to the realization of its ideal of national greatness. The sans culottes of the '89 Revolution, like the Commune of to-day, were otherwise minded. No sense of historic continuity or esthetic grace could avail to stay their hand in defacing the beautiful records of a mag-nificent but monarchical past. They quite deserve all the hard words that are said of them. But it would be a mistake to regard iconoclasm as a mere incident of republican excess. It is one of those natural instincts, partly good, partly evil, which have played an important part in the history of the world. We spoke just now of the passion of de-

struction for its own sake among the uneducated masses. Perhaps Mr. Darwin would tell us that it is a relie of that earlier stage of development when we were gradually fighting our way to full humanity by the process of natural selection, and with the aid of those destructive organs which have gradually disappeared since the struggle for existence ceased. At all events it is a fact. The pleasure felt by a baby in smashing a toy is very much akin to the pleasure felt by a boor in smashing a work of art. Probably in both. cases it consists partly in a rude sense of power, or "consciousness of the ego," which finds its intellectual expression in the man fullt sich of the German student who is breaking loose from the trammels of hereditary belief. It is the same sort of feeling that leads a savage to value himself on the number of men he has killed in battle. To make is course a much higher test of power than to unmake, but it is also much more difficult. and children and sawages naturally catch at that exercise of independent action which comes readiest to their hand. And men who have little or no education remain in many respects grown-up children all their lives. This goes far to account for the often quite purposeless-mischief of a mob who are set free for the time from all restraints of custom or police. There is a story in the life of John Wesley of a lawless rabble who surrounded the house where he was staying, somewhere in the North of England, and spent half the night in carrying him about from one place to another, with occasional threats of ducking or more serious outrage, and then took him home again. Yet they had no particular spite against him, and cheered lustily when he addressed them. It was simply a stupid and brutal frolic, which the local magistrates were too orthodox or too inert to interfere with. The midnight revellers who destroyed the Hermes busts at Athens were probably of a very different class, but probably also they were drunk; and the characteristic "insolence" of Alcibiades made him act more like an overgrown school-But genius than a man high culture.

iconoclasm was not the mere instinct of mischief, still less the boorish pleasure in defacing what one cannot appreciate. The Hermes busts, like most popular idols, are said to kave been exceedingly ugly, but they were the object of profound if not very intelligent veneration, and to deface them was to inflict one of the keenest possible insults on the national raligion. It gratified the sense of power, not so much by an act of wanton destruction as because it was an outrage on public decency, which, if suffered to go unpunished, would show that the perpetrators could hold themselves superior to the laws by which the rest of their countrymen were bound. And thus it was a more refined, but not one whit a nobler, form of iconoclasm than the vulgar pleasure of a Parisian mob in pulling down imperial statues, or of a Protestant mob at the Reformation in tossing elaborate missals and vestments into a bonfire, and dancing to the music of an ecclesi-

astical chant round the burning pile. It must not, however, be supposed that the iconoclastic instinct is never anything more than the aimless passion for destroying with a consciousness more or less realized of the exercise of power in the act. In coarser natures such a sentiment is almost sure to be present, even when it does not predominate. The reformer who breaks with axes and hammers the carved work which his ancestors had reverently labored at is apt to be quite as much influenced by love of mischief as by hatred of idolatry; but the latter motive has dominated some of the strongest, if not the largest, minds among those which have ahaped the course of history. Iconoclasm, when it rises above mere wanton destructiveness, expresses abhorrence either for the thing destroyed or for the ideas it is supposed or intended to convey. The distinction is necessary to be borne in mind. The column in the Place Vendome was designed

of vandalism perpetrated there last Tuesday meant to signalize their hatred, not of French victory, but of imperialism. So, again, the Puritan zealots who smashed crucifixes and images of the saints did not, we must presume, wish to assail the sacred personages represented—at all events as regards the cru-cifix—but what they considered an objectionable method of honoring them. But icono-clasm implies feelings and tends to produce results very much beyond what the iconoclasts themselves are thinking of. This may be illustrated by two comments which have been made, from very opposite points of view on the great iconcelastic controversy of the eighth and ninth centuries, which ended in the use of images as distinct from pictures-we need not trouble ourselves here with the point of this somewhat fanciful contrast-being proscribed in the Eastern Church, and authoritatively sanctioned in the West. The bitterness of feeling which it evoked may be nidged from the opprobrious sobriquet of Copronymus bestowed on the Greek Emperor who had made himself most notorious as a lender of the iconoclast party. Yet why should the question between images and "icons," as the Greeks called their pictures, violently embitter those who had disagreement in their belief about what their symbol represented? It was hinted at the time, and has often been said since, by Latin writers, that the doctrine of the Incarnation was at stake, and that the dislike to images of Christ was prompted by a repulsion of the subtle Greek intellect from the authropomorphic side of Christianity. There is probably some force in the criticism. but the Easterns certainly had no conscious intention of disparaging the Incarnation, and the first decree against images was imme-diately prompted by the reproaches of the Mahemetans against the idolatry of the Christian Church. On the other hand, modern writers bave spoken, not without reason, of the Greek Church rejecting in her prohibition of images the influences of Christian art and civilization, and have contrasted her conduct with that of Rome in accepting the Renaissance. It is certainly true that the close of the iconoclastic controversy marks the period of the decadence of all religious and intellectual energy in the East. We need not adopt Mr. Froude's extreme, not to say extravagant, view that the Eastern Christians stood on an immeasurably lower level than their Mahometan assailants, but there can be no doubt that Eastern Christianity, for the last thousand years, has presented very much the appearance of a sterile petrifaction of its former self. Yet it would be absurd to suppose that the iconoclastic Emperors and Synods of the eighth century had any conscious intention of repudiating art, and the civilizing influences which it indicates or effects. But the iconoclastic instinct, though it has its nobler side, and hes not unfrequently beca the vehicle of righteous indignation against falsehood and oppression, is in itself essentially narrow and debasing. It belongs to the lower, not the higher, part of our nature, and inclines us directly not so much to reject the evil as to refuse to recognize what is good. The religious narrowness of iconoclasm was illustrated at the Reformation in the reckless

demolition of all outward adjuncts of devotion, because some of them had been perverted to idle or superstitious uses. Its political narrowness is not the exclusive badge of any one party, though, for reasons already referred to, it has a natural affinity with the violence of domestic agitators. Napoleon I, whose statue has just fallen in Paris, displayed an almost puerile littleness in his anxiety to efface every visible memorial of the ancient monarchy of France. The statue of Louis XIV had indeed fallen already, but his stringent orders to obliterate the fleurs de lis, wherever found, were hardly less absurd than the attempt to expunge from French literature all mention of the former state of things, as though he really thought it would be possible to. make Frenchmen forget that they had any history before the 18 Brumaire. This is no doubt an extreme, but it is also a highly characteristic, instance of the genuine spirit of iconoclasm. As there can be no imageworship without images, so there can be no iconoclasm without images to break. It is essentially a protest against what has hitherto been held in honor, and its radical vice is the resolve to break with the past, because in the past there have been errors and abuses, as though for sooth the present or the future were at all more likely to be free from them. No doubt there are some idols which deserve to be utterly abolished, but it is not often that the outward symbol even of a rejected creed or a justly dispossessed sovereignty has no historie or artistie interest which gives it a claim to live. The most ardent Christian would hardly think it a discredit to the Popes that they have done their best to preserve the relics of Pagan and Imperial Rome, although the Paganism was a coarse and heartless superstition, and the Empire a gigantic system of tyranny and corruption. To make a clean sweep of the past is an un-hopeful augury for the future. The life of nations, as of individuals, is made up of their accumulated experiences, and France can as little divest herself of the traditions of the monarchy or of the Empire as England can ignore the elements of national life which the Stuart reigns or the Commonwealth have bequeathed to her. We should have more faith in the stability of some future Government in France if she had shown less eagerness in effacing all traces of those which are passed

SPECIAL NOTICES.

CHRISTIAN MORAL SCIENCE ASSOCIA-TION.

In connection with the First Constituent Congress of this association, which assembles in this city during the present week, two public meetings will

during the present week, two public meetings will be held, as follows:

1. On THURSDAY EVENING, 15th instant, at 8 o'clock, in the CHURCH OF THE EPIPHANY, when addresses will be delivered on the principles and objects of the association by members of the Congress. The Rev. Dr. NEW TON will preside.

2. On FRIDAY EVENING, 16th instant, in CONCERT HALL, when addresses will be delivered on Systematic Giving to the Cause of Christ and the Poor. His Honor Mayor FOX will preside.

All members of Evangelical Churches are invited to attend.

w.M. G. MOORHBAD, President and Treasurer.
WILLIAM BUCKNELL, Vice-President,
JAMES LONG, Assistant Treasurer.
RICHARD NEWTON, Secretary. 6 18 8t\*

THIS IS THE SEASON OF THE YEAR when the system should be thoroughly purged of the humors which create disease. There is no purgative or eathertic so mild and efficacious as HELMBOLD'S GRAPE PILLS, causing neither nauses or griping pains—as is the case with the ordinary cheap patent pills of the day—most of which are composed of calomel or mercury, and carelessly prepared by inexperienced persons. After thoroughly purging the system use HELMBOLD'S EXTRACTSARSAPARILLA, the Great Purifler, and they will insure new life, new blood, and renewed vigor. THACT SARSAP ARIDLE, new blood, and renewed vigor will insure new life, new blood, and renewed vigor 53 wthstw

THE PHILADELPHIA. WILMINGTON.
AND BALFIMORE RAILROAD COMPANY.
PHILADELPHIA, June 10, 1871.
The Board of Directors have declared a semiannual dividend of FOUR PER CENT. on the capital stock of the Company, clear of United States tax, payable on and after July 1, 1871. 610 Sw A. HORNER, Secretary.

SPECIAL NOTICES.	120
REPORT OF THE FOURTH N	ATIONAL
BANK OF PHILADELPHIA, AT TH	IE CLOSE
F BUSINESS, JUNE 10, 1871.	
RESOURGES	
oans and Discount	\$694,094.65
nited States Bonds to secure circula-	100 000,00
tion	199,000-00
nited States Bonds on hand	81,000.00
ther Stocks, Bonds, and Mortgages	68,486 61
oue from other National Banks	48,290-64
ue from Banks and Bankers	17,098 62
Sanking House productive	38,000 00
urniture and Fixtures	8,224-51
current Expenses	
tate Tax	660-00
exchange	130.93
ash Items and Notes of other Banks	28,8T1-20
Exchange for Clearing House	
ractional Currency	1,860.1
egal-Tender Notes	21 17,276 0
	1,55 17,895-2
LIABILITIES,	
Capital Stock paid in	\$2( 10,000-0
Surplus Fund	£ 3,905-2
Discount	
Interest	279-0
Circulation outstanding	1'79,000-0
Individual Deposits	
Cashier's Checks outstanding	
Due to National Banks  Due to other Banks and Bankers	114,890.7
Due to order Danks and Dankers	134,000-1
the his men is with a strong of	\$1,5227,985-2
State of Pennsylvania,	

Fourth National Bank: of Philadelphia, do solemnly swear that the above statement is true, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

JAMES HOPKINS. Vice-President. Subscribed and sworn to before me this thirteenth day of Jone, 1971. R. H. WILLIAMSON.

Notary Public. Correct-Attest: - . J.B. BAKEI ; BAMUEL J. CRESWELL, Jr., Directors, JOHN FAR EIRA, 6 18 8b

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA. The CORNER-S TONE of the new building for the Collegiate and Scientific Departments, on Locust street, west of Thirty-fourth, will be laid on THURSDAY, June 3 15, at 5 P. M., with appropriate

Addresses will be made by the Provost, by His Honor the Mayor of the city, and by Hon. F. C. BREWSTER, LL. D., President of the Alumni Asso-The Public Anti-sorities, the Alumni of the University, the Rever end Clergy, and all interested in the cause of Edu cation, are invited to be presont.

THE ALUMI II OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANI A are respectfully requested to meet on THURS DAY, 15th inst., at 4% P. M., at the site of the New Builsing. Thirty-fourth and Locust streets, to be present at the ceremonies attending the laying of the Corner-stone.

F. CAH ROLL BREWSTER, President.

GEORGE D. BUDD, Recording Secry. 6 10 2t

ALL POWDERS AND OUTWARD APPLIcathens chose up the pores of the skin, rendering the bash, or area, and flabby, and in a short time destroy the coinplexion. If you would have a Fresh, Heathy, and Youthful appearance, purge the system thoroughly; the HELMBOLD'S GRAPE FILLS and HELMBOLD'S SARSAPARILLA, which beautiles the complexion. Beware of those cheap patent pills, carelessly prepared by inexperienced persons—vended in vooden boxes—most of which costain either calcinel, mercury, or other deleterious drugs.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA. The ANNUAL PUBLIC EXAMINATIONS of the

The ANNUAL PUBLIC EXAMINATIONS of the JUNIOR, SOPHOMORE, and FRESHMAN classes will be held daily (except Saturdays), from June 9 to June 26, from 10 o'clock A. M. to 2 o'clock P. M. The O'RNIER-STONE of the new College Building in Vest Fhiladelphia will be laid on the afternoon of THURSDAY, the 15th, at 5 o'clock.

CANDIDATES FOR ADMISSION to any of the College classes will be examined in the CDEEK. College classess will be examined in the GREEK and LATIN LANGUAGES on TUESDAY, June 27, at 11 o'clock; and in the ENGLISH STUDIES and MATHEMATICS on WEDNESDAY, June 28, at half want to be considered to the control of the control of

and MATHEMATICS on the state of the at half-past 10 o'clock.

The ANNUAL COMMENCEMENT will take place on THURSDAY, June 29.

FRANCIS A. JACKSON,

FRANCIS A. JACKSON,

Secretary of the Faculty.

PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD COMPANY. TREASURER'S DEPARTMENT. PHILADELPHIA, May 2, 1871. The Board of Directors have this day declared a

semi-annual dividend of FIVE PER CENT. on the capital stock of the Company, clear of National and State taxes, payable in cash, on and after May

Blank powers of attorney for collecting dividends can be had at the office of the company.

The office will be open at 8 A. M., and close at P. M., from May 30 to June 2, for the payment of dividends, and after that date from 9 A. M. to 3 THOMAS T. FIRTH. Treasurer. A SINGLE TRIAL WILL CONVINCE THE

A SINGLE TRIAL WILL CONVINCE THE most skeptical of the efficacy of HELMBOLD'S GRAPE FILLS in Sick or Nervous Headache, Jaundice, Indigeation, Constipation, Dyspepsia, Biliousness, Liver Complaints, General Debility, etc. No nauses, no griping pains, but mild, pleasant, and safe is operation, Children take them with impunity. They are the best and most reliable. HELMBOLD'S EXTRACT SARSAPARILLA creates new, fresh, and healthy blood, beautifes the Complexion, and imparts a youthful appearance, dispelling Pimples, Blotches, Moth Patches, and all eruptions of the skin.

NOTICE.-THE FULL REPORT OF THE Committee appointed at mass meeting held March 24, last, to visit Hardsburg in support of legislation to abolish the Puolic Buildings Commis South Seventh; offices of Ledger, Record, North American, Press, German Democrat, Age and Bul-

HELMBOLD'S EXTRACT SARSAPARILLA is the Great Blood Purifier; thoroughly cleanses and renovates the entire system, and readily enters into the circulation of the blood, after purging with HELMBOLD'S GRAPE PILLS, the fool humors that have accumulated in the system for years. Both are carefully prepared according to the rules of Pharmacy and Chemistry, and are thoroughly reliable. A test of 20 years has proved this. Try them.

BATCHELOR'S HAIR DYE THIS SPLEN. did Hair Dve is the best in the world, the only true and perfect Dye. Harmless—Reliable—Instantaneous—no disappointment—no ridiculous tints—"Does me teoniain Lead nor any Vitalic Poison to injurein, Hair or System." Invigorates the Hair and leaves it soft and beautiful; Black or Brown.

Sold by all Druggists and dealers. Applied at the Factory, No. 16 BOND Street, New York. [4 27 mwf]

Factory, No. 18 BOND Sales, A. MILD, PLEASANT, safe, and agreeable Cathartic, which will cause neither names or griping pains, use Nature's remedy, HELMBOLD'S GRAPE PILLS. They are purely their component parts being Catawba vegetable; their component parts being Catawba
"Grape Juice and Fluid Extract Rhubarb." Should
you desire a brilliant complexion, youthful appearance, new life, new fresh blood and renewed vigor,
use Helmeold's Extract Sarsaparilla, 58wthstw

PILES .- DR. GUNNELL DEVOTES HIS time to the treatment of Files, blind, bleeding, or itching. Hundreds of cases deemed incurable without an operation have been permanently cured. Best city reference given. Office, No. 21 N. ELEVENTH Street. BLEVENTH SWEET.

IF YOU WOULD HAVE NEW LIFE, NEW Blood, and renewed vigor, use HELMSOLD'S GRAPE PILLS. Purify the Blood and Beautify the Complexion by the use of HELMBOLD'S EXTRACT SARSAPARILLA. They are no cheap patent medicines, but thoroughly Pharmscentical, and are not equalled by any English or French preparation.

53wths7w

JOUVIN'S KID GLOVE CLEANER restores solied gloves equal to new, by all druggists and fancy goods dealers, centers to ottle.

SPECIAL NOTICES. SPECIAL NOTICE.—CAMDEN AND AMBOY RAIGROAD LEASE, STOCKHOLDERS

DELAWARE AND RARITAN CANAL AND NEW JERSEY RAILROAD AND TRANS-PORTATION COMPANY, are invited to sign a consent to lease the works PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD,

now ready at the offices of SAMUEL WELSH, Chairman, D. M. ROBINSON, No. 218 S. Delaware avenue;
D. M. ROBINSON, No. 118 S. Third street;
GAW, BACON & CO., No. 315 Walnut street;
THOMAS A: BIDDLE & CO., No. 526 Walnut st.
BULL & NORTH, Third and Dock streets.
May 18, 1871. 6 10 10t

DR. F. R. THOMAS, No. 911 WALNUT ST. formerly operator at the Colton Dental Rooms, devotes his entire practice to extracting teeth without pain, with fresh nitrons oxide gas. 11 175 DISPENSARY FOR SKIN DISEASES, NO. 216 S. ELEVENTH Street.

Patients treated gratuitously at this institution daily at 11 o'clock.

GAS FIXTURES.

NO STORE ON CRESNUT STREET

CORNELIUS & SONS

RETAIL SALESROOMS,

821 CHERRY St.

CAS FIXTURES.

SAFE DEPOSIT COMPANIES.

THE PENNSYLVANIA COMPANY FOR INSURANCES ON LIVES AN GRANTING

ANNUITIES. Office No. 304 WALNUT Street.

INCORPORATED MARCH 10, 1812. CHARTER PERPETUAL.

CAPITAL \$1,000,000.

SURPLUS UPWARDS OF \$750.00

Receive money on deposit, returnable on deman for which interest is allowed.

And under appointment by individuals, corportions, and courts, act as EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS, TRUSTER GUARDIANS, ASSIGNEES, COMMITTEES, RECEIVERS, AGENTS, COLLECTORS, ETC., And for the faithful performance of its duties such all its assets are liable.

CHARLES DUTILH, President

Joshua B. Lippincott, Charles H. Hutchinson Lindley Smyth, George A. Wood, Anthony J. Antelo, Charles S. Lewis, Lewis, Charles Dutilh, Henry J. Williams William S. Vaux, John R. Wucherer Adolph E. Borie, Alexander Biddle, Menry Lewis.

THE PHILADELPHIA TRUS INSURANCE COMPANY,

OFFICE AND BURGLAR-PROOF VAULTS IN THE PHILADELPHIA BANK BUILDING. No. 421 CHESNUT STREET,
CAPITAL, \$500,000.

FOR SAFE-KEEPING OF GOVERNMENT BONDS a
other Valuables, under special guarantee, at a
lowest rates.

other Valuables, under special guarantee, at lowest rates.

The Company also offer for Rent, at rates vary from \$15 to \$75 per annum, the renter holding key, SMALL SAFES IN THE BURGLAR-PROVAULTS, affording absolute Security against Fri Thert, Burglary, and Accident.

All fiductary obligations, such as Thusts, Guadiannelies, Executorsent. etc., will be undertax and faithfully discharged.

All trust investments are kept separate and approximate the Company's assets.

Circulars, giving full details, forwarded en apposation.

Thomas Robins,
Lewis R. Ashhurst,
J. Livingston Erringer,
R. P. McCullagh,
Edwin M. Lewis,
James L. Claghorn,
Benjamin B. Comegys,
Joseph Carson, M. D.

OFFICERS,
President—LEWIS R. ASHHURS DIRECTORS.

President—Lewis R. Ashhurst.
Vice-President—J. Livingston Erringen
Secretary—R. P. McCullagh.
Treasurer—WM. L. Dubois.

\$ 3 fmw

WHISKY, WINE, ETC. WINES, LIQUORS, ENGLISH

SCOTCH ALES, ETC.

The subscriber begs to call the attention dealers, connoisseurs, and consumers generally his spiendid stock of foreign goods now on hand his own importation, as well, also, to his extens assortment of Domestic Wines, Ales, etc., am which may be enumerated:—
600 cases of Clarets, high and low grades, or fully selected from best foreign stocks.

100 casks of Sherry Wine, extra quality of fix grade. 100 cases of Sherry Wine, extra quality of fir grade. 25 casks of Sherry Wine, best quality of med

grade,
25 barrels Scuppernong Wine of best quality.
50 casks Catawba Wine
10 barrels
"""
Together with a full supply of Brandies, Whisk
Scotch and English Ales, Brown Stout, etc.,
which he is prepared to furnish to the trade and sumers generally in quantities that may be
quired, and on the most liberal terms.

P. J. JORDAN. 5 5 tf No. 220 PEAR Street, Below Third and Walnut and above Dock street

CARSTAIRS & McCALL. No. 126 Wainut and 21 Granite i

IMPORTERS OF Brandies, Wines, Gin, Olive Oil,

WHOLESALE DEALERS IN PURE RYE WHISKIES,

IN BOND AND TAX PAID. MILLINERY.

R S. R. D I L L O NOS. 200 AND 231 SOUTH STREET, FANCY AND MOURNING MILLINERY, CR.

Ladies' and Misses' Orape, Felt, Gimp, Hair, Sa Silk, Straw and Velvets, Hats and Bonnets, Fre Flowers, Hat and Bonnet Frames, Crapes, La-Silks, Satins, Velvets, Ribbons, Sashes, Orname and all kinds of Millinery Goods.